

1 September 2026

FOI Ref 23320

**Request - You asked Staffordshire Police (SP) the following:**

You have advised that in order to refine the request, data can be provided for questions 1, 2, 4 and 5, whereby, with regards to question 4 a free text word search can be carried out in the MO field for 'Meta smart glasses', 'smart glasses' and 'smart recording glasses'. Please can we go ahead with this suggestion, in that case.

Original request

Please provide the following information, broken down by year, for 2019 to 2025:

1. How many voyeurism offences did your force record each year?
2. How many harassment offences did your force record each year?
3. How many of these offences involved someone being filmed or photographed without their knowledge or consent using wearable recording devices?
4. How many recorded offences specifically mentioned Meta smart glasses, or other smart recording glasses, being used?
5. Of the offences involving smart recording glasses, how many resulted in a charge?
6. Of the offences involving smart recording glasses, how many resulted in a conviction?

SP received your request on 28/08/2026 and have processed this under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

SP's response to your enquiry is as follows:

**SP holds the requested information.**

- You have advised that in order to refine the request, data can be provided for questions 1, 2, 4 and 5, whereby, with regards to question 4 a free text word search can be carried out in the MO field

for 'Meta smart glasses', 'smart glasses' and 'smart recording glasses'. Please can we go ahead with this suggestion, in that case.

- Original request
- Please provide the following information, broken down by year, for 2019 to 2025:
- 1. How many voyeurism offences did your force record each year?  
- **Disclosed, please see the accompanying file titled 'FOI 23320 Refinement of FOI 23296 Voyeurism and Harassment Offences Data'.**
- 2. How many harassment offences did your force record each year?  
- **Disclosed, please see the accompanying file titled 'FOI 23320 Refinement of FOI 23296 Voyeurism and Harassment Offences Data'.**
- 3. How many of these offences involved someone being filmed or photographed without their knowledge or consent using wearable recording devices?  
- **Question withdrawn.**
- 4. How many recorded offences specifically mentioned Meta smart glasses, or other smart recording glasses, being used?  
- **Disclosed, please see the accompanying file titled 'FOI 23320 Refinement of FOI 23296 Voyeurism and Harassment Offences Data'.**
- 5. Of the offences involving smart recording glasses, how many resulted in a charge?  
- **Disclosed, please see the accompanying file titled 'FOI 23320 Refinement of FOI 23296 Voyeurism and Harassment Offences Data'.**
- 6. Of the offences involving smart recording glasses, how many resulted in a conviction?  
- **Question withdrawn.**

Please note that with regards to question 2, all offences regarding harassment have been included.

This data was obtained by completing a summary search for the terms 'Meta smart glasses', 'smart glasses' and 'smart recording glasses'. The accuracy and reliability of these searches cannot be guaranteed as only the MO / summary field of the report is searched. If this term is mentioned elsewhere (i.e. within the call log, crime report, statements etc) these will not be returned in the results. Additionally, if the term is misspelt within the MO / summary field, this will not be returned. To provide accurate results would require manually interrogating each crime within the specified timeframe to establish its relevance to your request.

This data has been provided to the best of the ability of SP, subject to the limitation of current recording protocols and systems and software. Additional offences may have been recorded during these timescales but are awaiting finalisation and input onto these systems and would not be present within this dataset.

Every effort is made to ensure that the figures presented are accurate and complete. However, it is important to note that data is extracted from multiple sources, developed for specific policing purposes, for

which data extraction methods have not been specifically designed to directly correlate with the terminology of this request. Therefore, the data presented is subject to the inaccuracies and idiosyncrasies inherent in any large-scale recording system. Consequently, care should be taken in the interpretation and presentation of this data, to not misrepresent the whole or any part of the data disclosed.

All Freedom of Information request responses are published on the SP website although personal details are not included.

### **Freedom of Information Request Appeals Procedure**

#### **1. Who Can Ask for a Review**

Any person who has requested information from SP, which has been dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act, is entitled to complain and request an internal review, if they are dissatisfied with the response they received.

#### **2. How to Request a Review**

Requests for review of a Freedom of Information request must be made in writing within two months of the date of receipt of this email, and should be addressed to:

[IAT@staffordshire.police.uk](mailto:IAT@staffordshire.police.uk)

Or by Post to:

Information Access Team  
Staffordshire Police HQ  
PO Box 3167  
Stafford  
ST16 9JZ

The reference number, date of the request and details of why the review is being requested must be included. Requests for review should be brought to the attention of the Information Access Team within two months of the SP response to the original FOI request.

#### **3. Review Procedure**

Receipt of a request for review will be acknowledged in writing. The review will be conducted by a supervisor who is independent from the original Decision Maker. The Information Access Team will set a target date for a response. The response will be made as soon as is practicable with the intention to complete the review within twenty working days. In more complex cases the review may take up to forty working days.



STAFFORDSHIRE  
**POLICE**

The independent supervisor will conduct a review of the handling of the request for information and of decisions taken, including decisions taken about where the public interest lies in respect of exempt information where applicable. The review enables a re-evaluation of the case, considering the matters raised by the complaint.

#### **4. Conclusion of the Appeal**

On completion of the review the independent supervisor will reply to the appellant with the result of the review. If the appellant is still dissatisfied following the review they should contact the Information Commissioner to make an appeal. The Information Commissioner can be contacted via the following link:  
<https://ico.org.uk/make-a-complaint/foi-and-eir-complaints/foi-and-eir-complaints/>

Freedom of Information  
Information Access Team