



STAFFORDSHIRE
POLICE

24th August 2026

Our Ref: FOI: 23279
Your Ref: FOI: 23168

Internal Review

Re: Request for internal review of response to application for information under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, original request first notified to Staffordshire Police (SP) on 22nd July 2026.

SP refers to the above request which is copied below:

Please provide:

The number of meetings (whether in person or by video conference) held between Staffordshire Police and representatives of Moog Inc. (including Moog Wolverhampton Ltd) of Valiant Way, Wolverhampton WV9 5GB between 1 July 2025 and 25 August 2025 inclusive.

If possible, please provide for each such meeting:

- the date;
- whether it was in person or online;
- the general purpose (for example "site security", "protest planning", "general liaison"); and
- the number of Staffordshire Police attendees.

I am not requesting minutes, agendas, operational tactics, correspondence or any information relating to investigative decision-making.

If any part of this request is considered exempt, please disclose the remainder.

You have requested an Internal Review which is copied below:

I request an internal review of the response to FOI 23168.

The response states that Staffordshire Police held one relevant meeting with Moog during the requested period, on 11 July 2025.

I have subsequently identified publicly available evidence which appears to indicate that the search and/or interpretation applied to my request may have excluded other relevant interactions between Staffordshire Police and Moog.

This evidence is contained in Moog's own witness statement filed in its High Court injunction proceedings and subsequently published publicly on Moog's own website.

In that witness statement, Moog states that:



- * on 1 July 2025, an employee at the Wolverhampton site contacted the Police via the 101 service to raise concerns about the possible threat from Palestine Action;
- * on 4 July 2025, a police officer from South Staffordshire Local Policing Team visited the site, reviewed the email sent by John McEvoy of Declassified UK, discussed safety at the Wolverhampton site in the event of a protest, and reviewed the external site and existing safety measures;
- * on 7 July 2025, the Police attended the Wolverhampton site again, reviewed the site risks and advised on potential protest-control measures;
- * on 10 July 2025, Moog contacted the Police again to advise that the Declassified UK article had been published;
- * on 10 and 11 July 2025, Moog had further discussions with the Police concerning risks and existing and further control measures; and
- * on 22 July 2025, the Police attended the Wolverhampton site again, after which Moog says uniformed officers regularly attended the site as part of their patrols.

These statements appear at paragraphs 97–100 of Moog's witness statement. They are particularly relevant because my original FOI request expressly included meetings concerning “site security”, “protest planning” and “general liaison.”

I appreciate that Staffordshire Police may have recorded or classified some of these interactions as “site visits”, “attendances”, “discussions”, “liaison” or another category rather than as formal “meetings”. However, my request was intended to capture substantive police/Moog engagement of the kinds specified, rather than only events formally categorised in police records as meetings.

In particular, it is difficult to understand why the documented 4 July and 7 July site attendances, involving discussion or advice concerning site safety, security risks and potential protest-control measures, would fall outside the substantive scope of the request.

I therefore ask the reviewer to reconsider both:

- * the interpretation applied to the term “meeting”; and
- * the adequacy of the searches undertaken to identify responsive information.

In doing so, I would ask Staffordshire Police specifically to establish whether records are held concerning the police/Moog interactions identified by Moog on 1, 4, 7, 10, 11 and 22 July 2025, and whether any of those interactions should have been included in the response to FOI 23168.

If Staffordshire Police maintains that only the 11 July interaction falls within scope, I would be grateful if the review decision could explain why the other interactions described in Moog's publicly filed evidence — particularly the site attendances of 4, 7 and 22 July — were excluded.

SP Response

Upon reviewing your request for information under the Freedom of Information provision referenced above and all corresponding communication, SP are of the opinion that the response issued fully answers the terms of your request.

The purpose of an Internal review is to look out how the initial request was processed and responded to; your request was for ‘meetings’ either online or in person. Furthermore, you mentioned ‘minutes’ and ‘agendas’ so the natural interpretation of your request was that you were referring to formal meetings.



STAFFORDSHIRE
POLICE

The response provided, therefore, was correct as SP only holds recorded information pertaining to one meeting (on 11th July 2026). This was the only information held that fitted the criteria of your request, so this was the information that was disclosed to you.

It is noted that in your request for an Internal Review you reference 'interactions identified by Moog'. If you require information relating to these incidents you will need to submit a new FOI request.

Please be advised that all FOI requests and response letters are published on the Staffordshire Police website although personal details are not included.

If you are not content with the outcome of the review, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner to investigate. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

<https://ico.org.uk/make-a-complaint/foi-and-eir-complaints/foi-and-eir-complaints/>

Information Access Team Management

IAT@staffordshire.police.uk

An outstanding local police service that cares

Confident Communities Exceptional Workforce Productive Partnerships Outstanding Organisation